

EXQ1	Question to:	Question:	Response:
INF 1.2	Harbour Energy/ Chrysaor Resources	<p><b>Response to Harbour Energy Written Representations</b></p> <p>The Applicant’s response to Harbour Energy (Table 2.3 [REP2-005]) regards the range of potential effects cited including restriction of helicopter access, safety issues, potential disruption of decommissioning activities and associated economic loss and the need for the DCO to secure a Co-operation and Co-existence Agreement. The Applicant states “the Order Limits do not overlap with the marine corridors requested by Harbour Energy, and that the draft DCO and dMLs (REP1-021) do not allow for the Applicant to conduct works, including siting of temporary navigational aids or markers, outside of the Order Limits. This is noted in the Applicant’s position in the SoCG with Harbour Energy submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-031). As such, the Applicant would have no ability to adversely impact Harbour Energy’s activities in the manner envisaged, and such a condition is unnecessary” (ref. REP1.044-17 Table 2.3 [REP2-005]). The Applicant maintains that the coordination of marine activities and process for communication is considered to be a logistical matter that can be co-ordinated post-consent between the parties using industry standard practices, and that such a Co-operation and Co-existence Agreement is not required. ExQ1: Tuesday 29 October 2024 Responses due by Deadline 3: Tuesday 12 November 2024 Page 67 of 79 ExQ1 Question to: Question: The ExA requests Harbour Energy to provide comment on the Applicant’s response.</p>	<p>Harbour Energy notes the Applicant’s comments, in particular the statement that “the draft DCO and dMLs (REP1-021) do not allow for the Applicant to conduct works, including siting of temporary navigational aids or markers, outside of the Order Limits”. Consequently, Harbour Energy accept that it would not be appropriate to seek protections for marine access through protective provisions. However, as outlined in Sections 4.1.1, 4.2.1, and 4.3.1 of Harbour Energy’s Written Representation (<a href="#">REP1-044</a>), Harbour Energy believes that a coexistence and cooperation agreement addressing mutually exclusive simultaneous operations (diving, piling, and seismic) is necessary. Therefore, the Applicant should have no issue providing the assurances sought by Harbour Energy to maintain freedom of access to the Millom field facilities for marine operations within such an agreement.</p>